

**COURT NO. 1
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

27.

OA 374/2023

Ex Sep Padala B Shankar Applicant
Versus
Union of India & Ors. Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Rajesh Nandal, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. D K Sabat, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG, MEMBER (A)

ORDER
22.10.2024

It is an admitted position that the benefit accruing to the applicant has been granted in pursuance to the PPO issued which is on record. However, in case the applicant has any grievance with regard to the calculation made and is also aggrieved by non-payment of interest on the delayed amount, liberty is granted to the applicant to represent to the respondents and thereafter, challenging the same in accordance with law in case he is not satisfied. With the aforesaid for the present, in view of the benefit granted to the applicant, the matter is disposed of with liberty to the applicant. OA stands disposed of.

**[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]
CHAIRPERSON**

**[REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG]
MEMBER (A)**

/kt/